

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application: Group Art Unit: 2178 Examiner: Cesar B. Paula Patrick Callaghan et al.

Serial No.: 09/668,212 **IBM** Corporation

Intellectual Property Law Filed: 09/22/2000 Department IQ0A/040-3 Title: AUDIBLE PRESENTATION AND

1701 North Street VERBAL INTERACTION OF HTML-LIKE Endicott, NY 13760 FORM CONSTRUCTS

Commissioner For Patents PO Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

APPEAL BRIEF

I. Real Party in Interest

The real party in interest is International Business Machines Corporation.

II. Related Appeals and Interferences

There are no related appeals, interferences or other judicial proceedings.

III. Status of Claims

Claims 1-21, 29, 31 and 38 were previously canceled.

Claims 22-28, 30, 32-37 and 39-44 are pending.

Claims 23-28 and 30 are Appealed.

IV. Status of Amendments

No Amendment was filed after Final Action.

V. Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

Support in the specification for the claim elements is indicated in brackets [].

Independent claim 23 recites a method for completing a form. A client workstation stores an audiovisual form [100] in a written markup language [Page 5 line 1 to Page 6 line 3]. The form defines fields with respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text [Figure 1]. A web browser in the client workstation displays the form with the respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text, audibly reads one of the headings and waits for a user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 6 lines 14-20, Page 8 lines 17-24, Page 10 lines 3-6, Figure 3 steps 302-310]. While the browser audibly reads the one heading, without user selection of the one heading or the blank area associated with the one heading, the browser automatically displays a plurality of valid alternatives for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 7 line 22 to Page 8 line 10]. One of the valid alternatives being the corresponding text [Page 7 line 22 to Page 8 line 10]. In response to the user speaking the corresponding text, the browser displays an updated state of the form with the one heading [Page 6 lines 14-20].

Claim 26, which depends on claim 23, recites that while the browser audibly reads the one heading, without user selection of the one heading or the blank area associated with the one heading, the browser automatically graphically indicates that the blank area associated with the one heading, and not any other blank area associated with any other heading, is currently waiting for the corresponding text from the user, and will include the corresponding text after spoken by the user [Page 7 line 22 - Page 8 line 6].

Independent claim 30 recites a method for completing a form [100]. A client workstation receives from a server via a network an audiovisual form in a written markup language [Page 5 line 1 to Page 6 line 3 and Figure 1]. The form includes fields with respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text [Page 5 line 1 to Page 6 line 3 and Figure 1]. A web browser in the client workstation displays the form with the respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text, audibly reads one of the headings and waits a predetermined time for a user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 6 lines 14-20, Page 8 lines 17-24, Page 10 lines 3-6, Figure 3 steps 302-310]. In response to lapse of the predetermined time, the web browser audibly rereads the one heading to remind the user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 8 lines 17-24, Page 10 lines 3-6, Figure 3 steps 302-310]. Instead of audibly responding with corresponding text for the blank area associated with the one heading, the user audibly responds with a spoken command for the browser to accept keyboard entry of the text for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 9 lines 3-20, "KEYBOARD" command]. Based on the spoken command for the browser to accept the keyboard entry, the browser accepts subsequent keyboard entry of the text for the blank area associated with the one heading [Page 9 lines 3-20].

VI. Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

Claim 23 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru (US6,400,806) in view of O'Sullivan (US 5,493,608) and Dipaolo et al. (US5,367,619).

Claim 26 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru in view of O'Sullivan and Dipaolo et al. (US 5,367,619).

Claim 30 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru in view of O'Sullivan.

35 USC 103(a) Rejection of Claim 23

Claim 23 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru (US6,400,806) in view of O'Sullivan (US 5,493,608) and Dipaolo et al. (US5,367,619). Claim 23 recites:

"while said browser audibly reads said one heading, without user selection of said one heading or the blank area associated with said one heading, said browser automatically displaying a plurality of valid alternatives for said blank area associated with said one heading, one of said valid alternatives being said corresponding text".

The Examiner acknowledged that Uppaluru does not teach or suggest this, but cited Dipaolo et al. Dipaolo et al. teach that in response to a user selecting a field with a cursor, the system displays valid options.

"When the user selects a menu driven field, a window 15 is presented on the screen next to the current field. This window contains the menu 16 listing current, valid values for that field." Dipaolo et al. column 6 lines 19-23. (Emphasis added.)

Claim 23 recites two key differences over Dipaolo et al. Claim 23 recites that the alternatives are displayed while the web browser audibly reads the one heading. Claim 23 also recites that the alternatives are displayed automatically without user selection of the one heading or the blank area associated with the one heading. Diapaolo et al. do not teach or suggest these two features. Moreover, these two features would not have been obvious in view of Dipaolo et al. because the features relate to concurrent audio and visual rendering, whereas Diapaolo et al. are only concerned with visual rendering. Moreover, claim 23 combines two forms of rendering, i.e. audio and visual rendering, where the alternatives are displayed visually and the headings are rendered in audio. Also, temporal synchronization is provided in claim 23 between the audio rendering of the heading and the visual rendering of the alternatives, and this is not disclosed or even suggested in Diapaolo et al.

The Examiner did not actually cite O'Sullivan against any feature of claim 23. O'Sullivan pertain to a voice response system using a telephone, and discloses "If a caller makes an error in response to a voice message prompt or does not enter a response within the set response time, the voice response systems will generally repeat the voice message prompt and ask the caller to try again." Column 1 lines 63-66. However, O'Sullivan does not teach or even suggest the foregoing features of claim 23.

35 USC 103(a) Rejection of Claim 26

Claim 26, which depends on claim 23, was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru in view of O'Sullivan and Dipaolo et al. (US 5,367,619). Claim 26 recites:

"while said browser audibly reads said one heading, without user selection of said one heading or the blank area associated with said one heading, further comprising the step of: said browser automatically graphically indicating that said blank area associated with said one heading, and not any other blank area associated with any other heading, is currently waiting for said corresponding text from said user, and will include said corresponding text after spoken by said user".

The Examiner acknowledged that Uppaluru fails to teach this feature, but cited Dipaolo et al. Dipaolo et al. Dipaolo et al. teach that in response to a user selecting a field with a cursor, the system displays valid options. Claim 26 has four key differences over Dipaolo et al. Claim 26 recites a graphical rendering that a blank area is awaiting text input. (Diapaolo et al. only disclose a visual text rendering of alternatives.) Claim 26 recites an *automatic*, graphical indication that the blank area associated with the one heading which is read is waiting for text input from the user and this graphical indication appears without user selection. (Diapaolo et al. display alternatives in response to user selection.) Also, claim 26 recites a combination of audio and visual rendering. (Diapaolo et al. only disclose visual rendering.) Also, claim 26 require synchronization of the audio reading of the heading and the visual rendering of the graphical indication.

Moreover, there is no suggestion in either Dipaolo et al. or Uppaluru that two forms of explanation are needed to notify the user of the waiting state of the blank area for a heading, both audio rendering of the heading **and** the graphical indication of the blank area. In addition, claim 26 depends on claim 23, so the foregoing features of claim 26 are in combination with the automatic display of alternatives of claim 23. Such combination was not taught or suggested by Uppaluru and/or Diapaolo et al.

35 USC 103(a) Rejection of Claim 30

Claim 30 was rejected under 35 USC 103(a) based on Uppaluru in view of O'Sullivan. Claim 30 recites:

"a web browser in said client workstation displaying said form with the respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text, audibly reading one of said headings and waiting a predetermined time for a user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading ...

instead of audibly responding with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading, said user audibly responding with a spoken command for said browser to accept keyboard entry of the text for the blank area associated with said one heading, and based on said spoken command for said browser to accept said keyboard entry, said browser accepting subsequent keyboard entry of the text for said blank area associated with said one heading." (Emphasis added.)

Neither Uppaluru nor O'Sullivan teaches or even suggests that an audible command is used to alert the web browser that in response to the web browser's audible rendering of the heading, the user will respond by keyboard entry. The Examiner acknowledges that Uppaluru does not teach this feature, but asserts "it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to respond with a keyword from a keyboard entry, because this would provide the benefit to input a piece of text quicker than using the voice response." The Examiner has overlooked a key feature of claim 30. Claim 30 recites more than keyboard input in response to an audible reading of a heading. Claim 30 recites that after the audible reading of a heading and before the keyboard input for the corresponding field, the user speaks a command to alert the web browser that keyboard input will be forthcoming and should be accepted,

"said user audibly responding with a spoken command for said browser to accept keyboard

entry of the text for the blank area associated with said one heading". (This is the

"Keyboard" command" described on Page 9 lines 3-9).

This feature is not taught or even suggested by Uppaluru, and even the Examiner's hindsight did

not see it.

The Examiner cited O'Sullivan against a different feature of claim 30. O'Sullivan

pertains to a voice response system using a telephone, and discloses "If a caller makes an error in

response to a voice message prompt or does not enter a response within the set response time, the

voice response systems will generally repeat the voice message prompt and ask the caller to try

again." Column 1 lines 63-66. However, O'Sullivan does not teach or even suggest the

foregoing features of claim 30.

Based on the foregoing, Appellants request that the Board reverse the rejection of claims

23-28 and 30.

Respectfully submitted,

Fax: 607-429-4119

Reg. No. 31,297

VIII. Claims Appendix - Claims Subject to Appeal

23. A method for completing a form, said method comprising the steps of:

a client workstation storing an audiovisual form in a written markup language, said form defining fields with respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text;

a web browser in said client workstation displaying said form with the respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text, audibly reading one of said headings and waiting for a user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading; wherein while said browser audibly reads said one heading, without user selection of said one heading or the blank area associated with said one heading, said browser automatically displaying a plurality of valid alternatives for said blank area associated with said one heading, one of said valid alternatives being said corresponding text; and

in response to said user speaking said corresponding text, said browser displaying an updated state of the form with said one heading and with said corresponding text entered in said blank area associated with said one heading.

24. A method as set forth in claim 23 further comprising the steps of:

said browser updating the written markup language for said form to include said corresponding text for said blank area associated with said one heading; and

said browser sending said updated written markup language to a server via a network.

25. A method as set forth in claim 24 further comprising the steps of:

after said browser performs the steps of updating the written markup language for said form and displaying an updated state of the form with said one heading and with said

corresponding text typed in said blank area associated with said one heading, said browser ceases to display said plurality of valid alternatives for said blank area, and subsequently, said browser audibly reading another of said headings and waiting for a user to speak another text for the blank area associated with said other heading, and in response to said user speaking said other text, said browser updating the written markup language for said form to include said other text for said blank area associated with said other heading and displaying an updated state of the form with said other heading and with said other text typed in said blank area associated with said other heading.

26. A method as set forth in claim 23 wherein while said browser audibly reads said one heading, without user selection of said one heading or the blank area associated with said one heading, further comprising the step of:

said browser automatically graphically indicating that said blank area associated with said one heading, and not any other blank area associated with any other heading, is currently waiting for said corresponding text from said user, and will include said corresponding text after spoken by said user.

- 27. A method as set forth in claim 23 further comprising the step of computer programming within said client workstation determining said corresponding text spoken by said user.
- 28. A method as set forth in claim 23 further comprising the steps of:

said browser responding to a spoken command by said user to skip entry of text into said blank area associated with said one heading and advance to a next one of said fields, said user speaking next text for a blank area of said next one of said fields, and in response to said user speaking said next text for said blank area of said next one of said fields, said browser updating the written markup language for said form to include said next text for said blank area for said next one of said fields and displaying an updated state of the form with said next text for said

blank area for said next one of said fields entered in said blank area for said next one of said fields.

30. A method for completing a form, said method comprising the steps of:

a client workstation receiving from a server via a network an audiovisual form in a written markup language, said form including fields with respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text;

a web browser in said client workstation displaying said form with the respective headings and respective blank areas to be updated with text, audibly reading one of said headings and waiting a predetermined time for a user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading; and in response to lapse of said predetermined time, said web browser audibly rereading said one heading to remind said user to audibly respond with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading; and

instead of audibly responding with corresponding text for the blank area associated with said one heading, said user audibly responding with a spoken command for said browser to accept keyboard entry of the text for the blank area associated with said one heading, and based on said spoken command for said browser to accept said keyboard entry, said browser accepting subsequent keyboard entry of the text for said blank area associated with said one heading.

IX. Evidence Appendix

There is no evidence entered or relied upon in this Appeal

X. Related Proceedings Appendix

There have been no decisions rendered by a court or the Board in any proceeding, and therefore, no copies of any decision to include.